arXiv:1204.5251v1 [math.NT] 24 Apr 2012

Primes Appearing in Tower Factorization of Integers

Patrick Devlin, Edinah Gnang

April 23, 2012

Introduction

Definition of Tower Factorization

For each positive integer n, recursively define the *tower factorization* of n as follows:

[0] If $n = 1$, then its tower factorization is just 1.

[1] If $n > 1$, let $n = p_1^{e_1} \cdots p_k^{e_k}$ be its usual prime factorization. Then the tower factorization of n is given by

$$n = p_1^{(f_1)} \cdots p_k^{(f_k)} = \prod_{i=1}^{k} p_i^{(f_i)},$$

where f_i is the tower factorization of e_i.

In other words, to obtain the "tower factorization" of n, we first write n into its prime factorization $n = p_1^{e_1} \cdots p_k^{e_k}$. Then we factor each exponent $e_i > 1$ into its prime factorization $e_i = p_{i,1}^{f_{i,1}} \cdots p_{i,k_i}^{f_{i,k_i}}$. We continue to factor each subsequent exponent of those prime factorizations until n is writen in the form

$$n = \prod_i p_i^{\left(\prod_j p_{i,j}^{(\Pi \cdots)}\right)},$$

for some prime numbers $p_i, p_{i,j}, \ldots$. Then by the fundamental theorem of arithmetic, this tower factorization is unique up to reordering.

Number, n	**Tower Factorization**	**Primes q with $n \in M(q)$**
1	1	$\emptyset$
144	$2^{(2^2)} \cdot 3^2$	$\{2, 3\}$
725	$5^{(2^2)}$	$\{2, 5\}$
15379	$7 \cdot 13^3$	$\{3, 7, 13\}$
$37349 \cdot 11^{669921875}$	$13^3 \cdot 17 \cdot 11^{(7^3 \cdot 5^{(3^2)})}$	$\{2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17\}$

Table 1: Examples of tower factorizations and to which $M(q)$ each number belongs

Statement of Problem

Let q be any prime number (e.g., $q = 2$), and let $M(q)$ be the set of all positive integers[1] whose tower factorization (as above) contains the prime q at least once.

Then we wish to determine the density, $d(q)$, of the set $M(q)$. That is, what is

$$d(q) := \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{|M(q) \cap \{1, 2, \ldots, N\}|}{N},$$

and does such a density even exist? (Stated more colorfully, $d(q)$ is the probability that a positive integer 'chosen at random' contains the prime q in its tower factorization.)

---

[1] For later notational convenience, for all q, we set $1 \notin M(q)$.

Exact Formulas for $d(q)$

Theorem 1. *Let q be any arbitrary prime, and let $M(q)$ be as previoulsy defined. Then the density $d(q)$ exists and is given by*

$$d(q) = 1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{q}\right) \cdot \prod_{p \neq q,\ prime} \left[1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \sum_{m \in M(q)} \frac{1}{p^m}\right].$$

Moreover, if $M^c(q)$ is defined as[2] $M^c(q) := \{z \in \{0, 1, \ldots\} : z \notin M(q)\}$*, then we also have*

$$\begin{aligned} d(q) &= 1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{q}\right) \cdot \prod_{p \neq q,\ prime} \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \sum_{m \in M^c(q)} \frac{1}{p^m}\right] \\ &= 1 - \left(\sum_{m \in M^c(q)} q^{-m}\right)^{-1} \cdot \prod_{p,\ prime} \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \sum_{m \in M^c(q)} \frac{1}{p^m}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since $M(q) \subseteq \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$ and $\emptyset \neq M^c(q) \subseteq \{1, 2, 3, \ldots\}$ convergent exact formula for $1 - d(q)$ can be found in the following way, establishing its existence. Let $n \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$ be chosen at random (we will let N go to infinity). Then for all primes p and all nonnegative integers m, let $D(p, m)$ be the event that p^m exactly divides n (that is p^m divides n, but p^{m+1} does not). Then the probability that n is in $M(q)$ is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(n \in M(q)) &= \mathbb{P}\left[(q \text{ divides } n) \cup (p^m \text{ exactly divides } n, \text{ where } p \neq q, \text{ and } m \in M(q))\right] \\ &= \mathbb{P}\left[(q \text{ divides } n) \cup \left(\bigcup_{p \neq q, \text{ prime}} \ \bigcup_{m \in M(q)} D(p, m)\right)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

These events are not disjoint, which makes this probability difficult to deal with. However, by considering $\mathbb{P}(n \notin M(q)) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(n \in M(q))$, we have the simplification

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \mathbb{P}(n \in M(q)) &= \mathbb{P}\left(\left[(q \text{ divides } n) \cup \left(\bigcup_{p \neq q, \text{ prime}} \ \bigcup_{m \in M(q)} D(p, m)\right)\right]^c\right) \\ &= \mathbb{P}\left((q \text{ does not divide } n) \cap \left[\bigcap_{p \neq q, \text{ prime}} \left(\bigcup_{m \in M(q)} D(p, m)\right)^c\right]\right). \end{aligned}$$

As N goes to infinity, these events are independent. Therefore, letting $N \to \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - d(q) &= \mathbb{P}(q \text{ does not divide } n) \cdot \mathbb{P}\left[\bigcap_{p \neq q, \text{ prime}} \left(\bigcup_{m \in M(q)} D(p, m)\right)^c\right] \\ &= \mathbb{P}(q \text{ does not divide } n) \cdot \prod_{p \neq q, \text{ prime}} \left[1 - \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{m \in M(q)} D(p, m)\right)\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Now for different values of m, the events $D(p, m)$ are clearly disjoint. Moreover, as N goes to infinity, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(D(p, m)) = \mathbb{P}(p^m \text{ divides } n) \cdot \mathbb{P}(p^{m+1} \text{ does not divide } n \text{ given } p^m \text{ does}) = \left(\frac{1}{p^m}\right) \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right).$$

---

[2] Note that $\{0, 1\} \subseteq M^c(q)$

Thus, this enables us to simplify our formula to

$$\begin{aligned} d(q) &= 1-\mathbb{P}(q \text{ does not divide } n)\cdot \prod_{p\neq q,\ \text{prime}} \left[1-\sum_{m\in M(q)}\left(\frac{1}{p^m}\right)\cdot\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)\right] \\ &= 1-\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right)\cdot \prod_{p\neq q,\ \text{prime}} \left[1-\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)\sum_{m\in M(q)}\frac{1}{p^m}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since we have

$$1-\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{0\le m\in M(q)} D(p,m)\right)=\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{0\le m\notin M(q)} D(p,m)\right),$$

then if we define $M^c(q)=\{z\in\{0,1,\ldots\}: z\notin M(q)\}$ (with $\{0,1\}\subseteq M^c(q)$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(q) &= 1-\mathbb{P}(q \text{ does not divide } n)\cdot \prod_{p\neq q,\ \text{prime}} \left[\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{0\le m\notin M(q)} D(p,m)\right)\right] \\ &= 1-\mathbb{P}(q \text{ does not divide } n)\cdot \prod_{p\neq q,\ \text{prime}} \left[\sum_{m\in M^c(q)}\left(\frac{1}{p^m}\right)\cdot\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)\right] \\ &= 1-\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right)\cdot \prod_{p\neq q,\ \text{prime}} \left[\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)\sum_{m\in M^c(q)}\frac{1}{p^m}\right] \\ &= 1-\left(\sum_{m\in M^c(q)} q^{-m}\right)^{-1}\cdot \prod_{p\ \text{prime}} \left[\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)\sum_{m\in M^c(q)}\frac{1}{p^m}\right], \end{aligned}$$

as desired. ☐

Proposition 2. *If $I(n)$ is the indicator function for the event $n\in M^c(q)$, then we have*

$$1-d(q)=\prod_{p\ prime}\left[\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\frac{I(p^m)}{p^m}\right],$$

and it is also true that

$$1-d(q)=\lim_{s\to 1^+}\left[(1-s)\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{I(m)}{m^s}\right].$$

Proof. This follows because $I(n)$ is multiplicative in that if $\gcd(a,b)=1$, then $I(ab)=I(a)I(b)$. Then the last theorem can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} 1-d(q) &= \left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right)\cdot \prod_{p\neq q,\ \text{prime}} \left[\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)\sum_{m\in M^c(q)}\frac{1}{p^m}\right] \\ &= \left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right)\cdot \prod_{p\neq q,\ \text{prime}} \left[\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\frac{I(p^m)}{p^m}\right] \\ &= \prod_{p\ \text{prime}} \left[\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\frac{I(p^m)}{p^m}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Then using that $I(n)$ is multiplicative, we obtain the second the second formula similarly. ☐

Using these formulas, we can obtain the following bounds.

Theorem 3. *For each prime $p \neq q$, let $S(p)$, $T(p)$, $A(p)$, and $B(p)$ be integer subsets such that $S(p) \subseteq M(q) \subseteq T(p)$ and $A(p) \subseteq M^c(q) \subseteq B(p)$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
d(q) &\geq 1-\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right) \cdot \prod_{p \neq q,\ prime} \left[1-\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right) \sum_{s \in S(p)} \frac{1}{p^s}\right] \\
d(q) &\leq 1-\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right) \cdot \prod_{p \neq q,\ prime} \left[1-\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right) \sum_{t \in T(p)} \frac{1}{p^t}\right], \\
d(q) &\leq 1-\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right) \cdot \prod_{p \neq q,\ prime} \left[\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right) \sum_{a \in A(p)} \frac{1}{p^a}\right], \\
d(q) &\geq 1-\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right) \cdot \prod_{p \neq q,\ prime} \left[\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right) \sum_{b \in B(p)} \frac{1}{p^b}\right].
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 4. *Let P be any set of primes with $q \notin P$, let $S \subseteq M(q)$, let $A \subseteq M^c(q) \subseteq B$. Then we have*[3]

$$\begin{aligned}
d(q) &\geq 1-\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right) \cdot \prod_{p \in P} \left[1-\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right) \sum_{s \in S} \frac{1}{p^s}\right], \\
d(q) &\geq 1-\left(1-\frac{1}{q}\right) \cdot \prod_{p \in P} \left[\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right) \sum_{b \in B} \frac{1}{p^b}\right], \\
d(q) &\leq 1-\frac{q^q-q^{q-1}}{q^q-1} \cdot \frac{1}{\zeta(q)} \prod_{p \in P} \left[\frac{\left(1-\frac{1}{p}\right)}{1-\frac{1}{p^q}} \sum_{a \in A} \frac{1}{p^a}\right].
\end{aligned}$$

Proposition 5. *For all q, we have*

$$1-\frac{q^{q+1}-q^q}{q^{q+1}-1} \cdot \frac{1}{\zeta(q+1)} \leq d(q) \leq 1-\frac{q^q-q^{q-1}}{q^q-1} \cdot \frac{1}{\zeta(q)}.$$

Moreover, we have

$$0 \leq d(q)-\frac{1}{q}-\frac{1}{4 \cdot 2^q} \leq \frac{3}{4 \cdot 2^q}.$$

Corollary 6. *The sequence $d(q)$ is strictly decreasing, and it decreases to* 0.

---

[3] This gives rise to algorithms for computing $d(q)$

Rigorous Computer Bounds for Specific Values of $d(q)$

The conjecture $d(2) = 1/\sqrt{3}$ is false.

Using the previous bounds on $d(q)$, the following values were found. The floating point values in the fifth column are a rigorous lower bound for $d(q)$ (on top) and a rigorous upper bound for $d(q)$ (on bottom). Full values of these bounds are in the TeX file, but they are truncated to just 35 digits here.

The columns p, a, and s are parameters for the algorithms used. These parameters correspond to the size of P, (roughly) the size of A, and (roughly) the size of S as in **Proposition 4**. Notably, p is the number of primes used in the estimation, which seems to matter much more than the size of A [affecting the upper bound] or S [affecting the lower bound].

Data and code are available on request.

q	p	a	s	Bounds (First 35 Digits [More in TeX File])	Digits Known
2	25000	20	20	0.577 350 376 056 807 813 001 171 222 749 099 03 0.577 350 485 047 678 584 952 747 233 500 637 55	6
3	6000	100	100	0.388 807 379 263 994 405 608 000 000 000 000 00 0.388 807 379 271 511 226 974 000 000 000 000 00	10
5	5000	100	100	0.215 118 984 695 585 620 327 888 615 736 044 88 0.215 118 984 695 585 620 331 080 414 300 988 94	19
7	2500	100	100	0.146 500 891 228 438 042 819 116 915 103 810 81 0.146 500 891 228 438 042 819 116 915 105 137 03	29
11	2000	200	200	0.091 134 581 055 674 121 650 272 316 314 808 81 0.091 134 581 055 674 121 650 272 316 314 808 81	44
13	2000	200	200	0.076 979 810 520 294 777 519 659 200 891 501 69 0.076 979 810 520 294 777 519 659 200 891 501 69	52
17	2000	200	200	0.058 827 124 602 119 403 676 736 708 884 910 96 0.058 827 124 602 119 403 676 736 708 884 910 96	60
19	2000	200	200	0.052 632 482 973 467 517 964 355 534 025 063 33 0.052 632 482 973 467 517 964 355 534 025 063 33	60
23	2000	200	200	0.043 478 317 889 484 083 344 293 667 695 938 90 0.043 478 317 889 484 083 344 293 667 695 938 90	60
29	2000	200	200	0.034 482 759 519 907 038 838 884 404 979 223 96 0.034 482 759 519 907 038 838 884 404 979 223 96	60
31	2000	200	200	0.032 258 064 741 450 054 595 016 325 700 965 71 0.032 258 064 741 450 054 595 016 325 700 965 71	60
37	2000	200	200	0.027 027 027 030 566 683 523 134 092 350 343 62 0.027 027 027 030 566 683 523 134 092 350 343 62	60
41	2000	200	200	0.052 632 482 973 467 517 964 355 534 025 063 33 0.052 632 482 973 467 517 964 355 534 025 063 33	60
43	2000	200	200	0.024 390 243 902 660 852 384 118 730 197 418 93 0.024 390 243 902 660 852 384 118 730 197 418 93	60
47	2000	200	200	0.021 276 595 744 684 328 187 880 387 051 387 64 0.021 276 595 744 684 328 187 880 387 051 387 64	60

q	p	a	s	Bounds (First 35 Digits [More in TEX File])	Digits Known
53	2000	200	200	0.018 867 924 528 301 941 256 223 883 281 009 21 0.018 867 924 528 301 941 256 223 883 281 009 21	60
59	2000	200	200	0.016 949 152 542 372 882 208 592 895 018 030 94 0.016 949 152 542 372 882 208 592 895 018 030 94	60
61	2000	200	200	0.016 393 442 622 950 819 885 416 820 821 976 79 0.016 393 442 622 950 819 885 416 820 821 976 79	60
67	2000	200	200	0.014 925 373 134 328 358 212 292 786 538 441 94 0.014 925 373 134 328 358 212 292 786 538 441 94	60
71	2000	200	200	0.014 084 507 042 253 521 126 969 339 106 717 70 0.014 084 507 042 253 521 126 969 339 106 717 70	60
73	2000	200	200	0.013 698 630 136 986 301 369 915 228 058 392 40 0.013 698 630 136 986 301 369 915 228 058 392 40	60
79	2000	200	200	0.012 658 227 848 101 265 822 785 626 836 554 17 0.012 658 227 848 101 265 822 785 626 836 554 17	60
83	2000	200	200	0.012 048 192 771 084 337 349 397 641 437 357 10 0.012 048 192 771 084 337 349 397 641 437 357 10	60
89	2000	200	200	0.011 235 955 056 179 775 280 898 877 203 211 62 0.011 235 955 056 179 775 280 898 877 203 211 62	60
97	2000	200	200	0.010 309 278 350 515 463 917 525 773 198 999 20 0.010 309 278 350 515 463 917 525 773 198 999 20	60
101	2000	200	200	0.009 900 990 099 009 900 990 099 009 901 185 36 0.009 900 990 099 009 900 990 099 009 901 185 36	60

In this table, perhaps the most important thing to note is that it disproves the conjecture $d(2) = 1/\sqrt{3}$ that was strongly suggested by experimental data and early attempts to bound $d(2)$. These bounds prove

$$0.5773504851 \geq d(2) \geq 0.5773503760 > 0.577350269189\ldots = 1/\sqrt{3}.$$

It is curious to note how close $d(2)$ is to $1/\sqrt{3}$; these values agree for 6 digits after the decimal, but not the seventh.